Putative protective effect of Cadmium chloride high diluted solution on LLC-PK1 cell intoxicated by high concentration of this same metal: an isopathic *in vitro* assay**
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Cadmium is an important toxic environmental heavy metal. Several studies have demonstrated that a major site of cadmium toxicity in humans and in other animals is the proximal tubule of the kidney. A well established model for nephrotoxicity is the use of *in vitro* technique with proximal tubule epithelial cell lines, as LLC-PK1. Herein, we have the intention to study the possible protective effect of high diluted CdCl2 solutions. In a blinding way, LLC-PK1 cells were pre-treated with high diluted Cadmium chloride in the potencies 10cH, 15cH and 20cH. After 4 days, these cells have received CdCl2 in a pre-determined toxic concentration. The cell viability was assessed by MTT assay. We have identified a protective effect of two CdCl2 high diluted solutions, 10cH and 20cH, when cells were intoxicated by sublethal CdCl2 concentration. The results indicate that probably the high dilutions have an expressive action on cells in sublethal intoxication.
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**Introduction**

Cadmium is an important toxic environmental heavy metal that is commonly related with occupational and environmental pollution mainly in mining, metallurgy industry and manufactures of nickel–Cadmium batteries, pigments and plastic stabilizers. Cigarette smoke, food, water and air contaminations are important sources of human intoxication by Cadmium that is associated with cancers of the prostate, lungs and testes. At the cellular level, Cadmium affects proliferation, differentiation and causes apoptosis. It has been classified as a carcogen by the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC).1

As regards to kidney damage, long-term exposure to Cadmium via inhalation or ingestion could cause initially minor tubular dysfunctions till severe impairment involving tubuli as well as glomeruli.2

Studies have documented that a major site of cadmium toxicity in humans and in other animals is the proximal tubule epithelium of the kidney3, being one of the primary targets of nephrotoxic injury *in vivo* and much effort has gone into developing *in vitro* toxicologic models of this particular tissue.4

The proximal tubule model systems that have been developed so far use either primary cultures of proximal tubule epithelial cells or immortal cell lines that were originally derived from proximal tubule epithelium. A wide variety of immortal cell lines derived from various species have been employed: LLC-PK1 (porcine), NRK-52E (rat), OK (opossum) and HK-2 (human) cell lines.4 LLC-PK1 cells constitute a well established cell line applied in *in vitro* study model of renal toxicology5-7, even for Cadmium and other heavy metals toxicity studies.8-10

In this context, many pharmacological substances have been studied to identify the protective effect on these cells. In 1993, Delbancut and colleagues11 published an *in vitro* study model of toxicology in which it was possible to recognize the protective effect of three distinct potencies of high diluted solutions of Cadmium chloride (CdCl2 HD). According to these
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authors, LLC-PK1 cells previously treated with high diluted CdCl₂, when in contact with toxic concentration of this same metal, presented a higher cell viability compared to control cells that not received high diluted treatment.

With the aim of reproducing and studying the protective effect of high diluted CdCl₂ solutions, we performed an in vitro assay in order to verify the LLC-PK1 response to the high rates of CdCl₂.

Materials and Methods

Cadmium chloride solution

Cadmium chloride (CdCl₂, Sigma, USA) was dissolved in distilled water at a concentration of 43.79 mM (1%), filtered (0.22 µm), and stored at 4°C. Toxic solutions were prepared in distilled water sterilized by filtration. The EC50 (half maximal effective concentration) was obtained with dose-response curve with the following concentrations (in µM): 10, 30, 100, 300, 1000, 3000 and 10000.

CdCl₂ high dilutions

The CdCl₂ high dilutions were prepared according to Brazilian Homeopathic Pharmacopeia. As the metal is a soluble active, the first solution in centesimal scale (1cH) has concentration of 1%. The vehicle used was distilled water. In a sterile ambient, freshly CdCl₂ solution (0.23 g CdCl₂ in 23 ml distilled water) was sterilized by filtration (0.22 µm). The quantity of 20 ml was added to a sterile amber flask (capacity for 30 ml). The same procedure was performed with the control distilled water. At the same time, the two flasks, identified by CdCl₂ HD 1cH and Water HD 1cH, were placed at the mechanical machine (Denise 10-50, AUTIC) for one hundred sucussions. After, 200 µl (one part) of 1cH was diluted in 19.8 ml (99 parts) of distilled water in amber flask and mechanically agitated, preparing the 2cH. The high dilution preparations were carried out until the 20cH solutions (CdCl₂HD and Water HD) were reached.

Considering the initial CdCl₂ concentration (1cH= 1g/100ml) and Avogadro's constant (6,0221367 x 10²³ molecules/mol⁻¹), it is possible to calculate the Molar concentration and the expected number of CdCl₂ molecules in solution (Table 1).

The CdCl₂HD solutions tested were 10cH, 15cH and 20cH. The study controls were: distilled water at the same potencies (Water HD 10cH, 15cH and 20cH), distilled water without agitation and culture medium. The blindness of the experiment was conducted as follow: all flasks, containing high dilutions or controls, received a label with solution identification. Two colleagues, not directly related to the project, changed the identification label by another with a number identification (1 to 8). The first labels were placed in a paper with the related number. The two scientists signed the blindness proceeding, and the paper was placed in an envelope and then sealed. After statistical analysis of the results, the enveloped was opened.

Table 1 - Molar Concentration of CdCl₂ HD solutions and Avogadro's constant.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CdCl₂ HD</th>
<th>Molar Concentration (M)</th>
<th>Avogadro Constant (6,022 x 10²³ molecules/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 cH</td>
<td>CdCl₂ 1% = 0,05M</td>
<td>0,30115 x 10²³</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2 cH</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻⁴</td>
<td>3,0115 x 10²⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3 cH</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻⁶</td>
<td>3,0115 x 10¹⁸</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4 cH</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻⁸</td>
<td>3,0115 x 10¹⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 cH to 9 cH</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻¹⁰ to 5 x 10⁻¹⁸</td>
<td>3,0115 x 10¹⁴ to 3,0115 x 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* 10 cH</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻²⁰</td>
<td>3,0115 x 10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11 cH</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻²²</td>
<td>3,0115 x 10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 cH</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻²⁴</td>
<td>3,0115 x 10⁰</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13 cH</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻²⁶</td>
<td>3,0115 x 10²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14 cH</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻²⁸</td>
<td>3,0115 x 10⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* 15 cH</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻³⁰</td>
<td>3,0115 x 10⁶</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16 cH to 19 cH</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻³² to 5 x 10⁻³⁸</td>
<td>3,0115 x 10⁻⁸ to 3,0115 x 10⁻¹⁴</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>* 20 cH</td>
<td>5 x 10⁻⁴⁰</td>
<td>3,0115 x 10⁻¹⁶</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Potencies tested in the present study.
Cell culture

LLC-PK1 cells originating from pig proximal tubule (obtained at Federal University of Rio de Janeiro, Brazil) were routinely grown in a monolayer culture in the presence of Dulbecco's modified Eagle's medium (DMEM) supplemented with 10% of heat-inactivated fetal bovine serum (FBS), 100 U/ml penicillin and 100 mg/ml streptomycin, in plastic cell culture flask, in incubator at 37°C. The medium was replaced and the cells were trypsinised and split three times a week. The cells were transferred into 96-well culture plate for cytotoxicity assay.

Cell viability test: Colorimetric MTT (tetrazolium) assay

The cell viability of control and treated cultures were assessed by MTT assay, a sensitive and quantitative colorimetric assay, that measures viability based on the capacity of mitochondrial succinyl dehydrogenase in living cells to convert the yellow substrate Thiazolyl Blue Tetrazolium Bromide (MTT, Sigma) into a dark blue formazan product. At the end of the experiment, MTT solution (final concentration of 0.5 or 1 mg/ml in phosphate-buffered saline - PBS) was added to all wells, and the plate was incubated at 37°C for 4 h. After, in each well, the medium was removed, and the formazan (dark blue crystals) were extracted from the intact cells with dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) and mixed thoroughly to dissolve them. The quantity of formazan is directly proportional to the living cell number measured. The absorbance was determined using a scanning spectrophotometer (540 nm against 630 nm as reference).

Cytotoxicity assay

The figure 1 shows a general scheme of the assay for the experimental group CdCl₂ HD (one well representation). On day zero, the LLC-PK1 cells were added to the plate. On day 1, twenty four hours after seeding, LLC-PK1 cells were exposed to CdCl₂ HD. On day 6, a toxic concentration of CdCl₂ was added and the cell viability was determined after 24 h by colorimetric MTT assay.

Figure 1. A general scheme of the citotoxicity assay for the experimental group CdCl₂ HD (one well representation). On day zero, the LLC-PK1 cells were added to the plate. On day 1, twenty four hours after seeding, LLC-PK1 cells were exposed to CdCl₂ HD. On day 6, a toxic concentration of CdCl₂ was added and the cell viability was determined after 24 h by colorimetric MTT assay.

Figure 2. Illustration of the groups (identified by numbers in a blinding way) distribution on a 96-well plate. The plate corners were avoided, and distribution presents a mirror image configuration. This very similar group details of the experimental and study control groups (total of 16 groups: with or without CdCl₂ toxic concentration) and explanation about each group research intention.

As the study was done in a blinding way, the groups were identified by numbers, and each number group was or not in the presence of the toxic Cadmium solution. A very similar distribution of the groups on the 96-well-plate was displayed: columns 1 to 6, cells that have not received the metal toxic concentration, and columns 7-12, cells that have received it. As the experiment lasted 144 hours, it is important to take into consideration the possible medium evaporation. Buckberry¹⁷ suggests to avoid columns (1-12) and lines (A and H) as they experience slightly different growth conditions and so they are not used for testing. In this assay, it was necessary to use some of them: all groups (numbers) were distributed on plate, in quintuplicate, except on the corners – (1A, 2A, 1B, 1C; 11A, 12 A, 12B, 12C; 1 F, 1G, 1H, 2H; 11 H, 12 H, 12G, 12F) where sterile water was added.

Figure 2. Illustration of the groups (identified by numbers in a blinding way) distribution on a 96-well plate. The plate corners were avoided, and distribution presents a mirror image configuration. This very similar group
Table 2. Experimental groups and their respective research intention.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>cell</th>
<th>added substance</th>
<th>Group name</th>
<th>CdCl₂ toxic concentration</th>
<th>Research intention Identification of:</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>LLC-PK1</td>
<td>+ medium</td>
<td>Medium</td>
<td>+ Medium</td>
<td>* quantitative value for total cell live (100% viability)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ CdCl₂</td>
<td>* quantitative value for total cell death</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLC-PK1</td>
<td>+ distilled water with no agitation</td>
<td>Water</td>
<td>+ Medium</td>
<td>* any difference in cell viability due to osmolarity change (not seen in Medium group)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+ CdCl₂</td>
<td>* water HD control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLC-PK1</td>
<td>CdCl₂ 10 cH or 15 cH or 20 cH</td>
<td>+ CdCl₂</td>
<td>+ CdCl₂</td>
<td>* any biological effect as proliferation, suppression or cell death.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LLC-PK1</td>
<td>+ Water 10 cH or 15 cH or 20 cH</td>
<td>+ CdCl₂</td>
<td>+ CdCl₂</td>
<td>* any biological effect as proliferation, suppression or cell death.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

position on plate have allowed a most similar condition cells growth, considering a possible medium evaporation, in order to have an appropriate comparison of results.

Statistics

Results were expressed as mean ± SD. Data were from quintuplicate samples (n=5), the quantity of experiments is informed on the graphic legend and text. The statistical significance was determined by One-Way Anova followed by Tukey test. P<0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results

As usually, every new protocol in a laboratory has to pass throughout standardization process. In this case, initially the number of cell per well and the MTT solution concentration were determined.

Figure 3. Number of cell per well and viability (optical density) assessed by MTT assay. The initial cell number 1x10³, 2x10³, 3x10³, 4x10³, 5x10³ and 6x10³ per well were grown for 7 days. The MTT final concentrations 0.5 mg/ml and 1 mg/ml in PBS were tested (n=5 wells per MTT solution, in each density cell). This experiment has
allowed the identification of a relationship between cell number and optical density in LLC-PK1 cells. The acceptable colorimetric profile should have absorbance > 0.500.19 The figure 3 presents a graphic relating optical density and cell number (x10^3).

The figure 3 describes the relationship between cell number and optical density. The density cell started at 3 x 10^3 cells has presented an absorbance value closed or superior to 0.500. There was no statistical difference between the absorbance value of the cell group 3x10^3 when they have received the MTT final concentrations of 0.5 or 1 mg/ml. However, the groups 4x10^3, 5x10^3 and 6x10^3 cells have shown a significant statistical difference (P< 0.001). With these results, the initial cell number per well determined to be used on citotoxicity assay were: 3x10^3 and 4x10^3 cells. This because cell viability with basal absorbance value near to 0.500 when receiving a substance with a possible proliferation cell effect in an assay can have an absorbance increase within the ideal absorbance for MTT assay (absorbance between 0.2 to 0.9). And also, it was determined the MTT final concentration of 0.5 mg/ml.

Half maximal effective concentration (EC50) of CdCl2 on LLCPK1 cells during 24 hours

The CdCl2 concentration which induced a response halfway between the baseline and maximum, after 24 hours of exposure, on LLC-PK1 cells, the half maximal effective concentration (EC50), is presented in a sigmoid dose response curve (Figure 4).21

For that, the CdCl2 concentrations 10 µM, 30 µM, 100 µM, 300 µM, 1000 µM, 3000 µM and 10000 µM, were added to LLC-PK1 cells at day 6 of culture growing. The dilution was 1:10 in culture medium, then the CdCl2 final concentrations were 1 µM, 3 µM, 10 µM, 30 µM, 100 µM, 300 µM and 1000 µM on LLC-PK1 cell viability assessed by MTT assay. The initial density cells was 3x10^3. The cell viability was measured by MTT assay. According to the sigmoid dose-response curve the EC50 value is 73.31 ± 7.9 µM.

Citotoxicity assay

According to the protocol suggested by Delbancut and colleagues11, we have performed the citotoxicity assay as described in detail in materials and methods. On day 1, after 24 hours of cell seeding (4x10^3 cells), the CdCl2 potencies 10cH, 15cH and 20cH were added as well as the controls: water high diluted (Water HD10 cH, 15 cH or 20 cH), distilled water with no agitation and culture medium (DMEM). On day 6, the cells groups have received a toxic CdCl2 solution (EC25= 38µM). After 24 hours of the metal intoxication, the cell viability was assessed by MTT assay (Figure 5).

The data presented on figure 5 show that there were statistical difference between groups which have received the solutions (CdCl2 HD or water HD (potencies 10 cH, 15 cH or 20 cH) or distilled water with no agitation or culture medium, respectively. On day 6, the cells groups have received a toxic CdCl2 solution (EC25= 38µM). After 24 hours of the metal intoxication, the cell viability was defined by MTT assay. Data from 1 experiment, 5 wells per group.

Due to lack of evidence, after reviewing data, it was decided to change the protocol: the cells would receive two test solution or control stimulus- first on day 1, and second on day 4. The next experiment was performed as following described: 3x10^3 cells, after seeding (24h), have received freshly prepared solutions (CdCl2 10cH, 15cH or 20cH, Water 10cH,
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15 cH or 20 cH, distilled water with no agitation or culture medium) on day 1 and 4. On day 6, the cells groups have received a toxic CdCl₂ solution (EC25=38µM). After 24 hours of the metal intoxication, the cell viability was assessed by MTT assay (figure 6).

![Figure 6. Citotoxicity assay to detect potential CdCl₂ HD protection effect on intoxicated LLC-PK1 cells that received two stimulus. The quantity of 3x10⁵ cells, after 24 hour, have received: CdCl₂ HD (10 cH, 15 cH or 20 cH), or Water HD (10 cH, 15 cH or 20 cH), or distilled water with no agitation or culture medium, respectively, on day 1 and 4. On day 6, the cells groups have received a toxic CdCl₂ solution (EC25= 38µM). After 24 hours of the metal intoxication, the cell viability was defined by MTT assay. Data from 1 experiment, 5 wells per group.](http://www.ijrh.org)

The statistical analysis of the results have shown that the control groups water and medium versus water and medium intoxicated, respectively, had statistical difference (P < 0.01). In addition, the group CdCl₂ 15cH versus CdCl₂ 15cH + CdCl₂ toxic concentration (EC25= 38µM) have presented statistical difference (P< 0.05). On the other hand, the groups CdCl₂ 10cH versus CdCl₂ 10cH + CdCl₂ toxic concentration (EC25= 38µM) and CdCl₂ 20cH versus CdCl₂ 20cH + CdCl₂ toxic concentration (EC25= 38µM) have not presented statistical difference (P> 0.05). This blinding assay allows to verify that CdCl₂ 10cH and CdCl₂ 20cH had protective effect on LLC-PK1 cells that have received toxic concentration of this same metal. However, the same experiment protocol and solutions where tested twice, in a blinding way, and there was no protective effect observed (data not shown).

With the intention to discern the CdCl₂ HD protective effect, the next step was to triggered cells with double metal toxic concentration (EC50=73µM). The results are displayed on Figure 7.

![Figure 7. Citotoxicity assay to detect potential CdCl₂ HD protection effect on intoxicated LLC-PK1 cells that have received two stimulus. The quantity of 3x10⁵ cells, after 24 hour, have received: CdCl₂ HD (10 cH, 15 cH or 20 cH), or Water HD (10 cH, 15 cH or 20 cH), or distilled water with no agitation or culture medium, respectively, on day 1 and 4. On day 6, the cells groups have received a toxic CdCl₂ solution (EC50= 73µM). After 24 hours of the metal intoxication, the cell viability was defined by MTT assay. Data from 1 experiment, 5 wells per group. Representative of two experiments.](http://www.ijrh.org)

Unfortunately, it was not possible to distinguish the protective effect of CdCl₂ HD on LLC-PK1 cells when they have received EC50 metal concentration.

In sum, only one experiment presented a protective effect as illustrated on figure 6: freshly solutions tested, initial cell number of 3x10⁵, EC25= 38µM. This was considered approved, as the experiment was well established, the variables (as a possible difference between groups concerning the cell growing) were managed and it was performed in a blinding way.

**Discussion**

The well established in vitro citotoxicity technique is a useful tool for pharmacological investigations even for high diluted solutions. Delbancut and colleagues performed an experiment aiming to identify the protective effect of CdCl₂ high diluted solution on pig proximal tubule epithelial cells, LLC-PK1 cells, when these cells were exposed to toxic concentration of this metal. The high diluted CdCl₂ solutions 10⁻²⁰, 10⁻³⁰ and 10⁻₄⁰ M (corresponding almost to 10cH, 15cH and 20cH) were prepared in culture medium and by a serial of centesimal dilutions. Each dilution was agitated in vortex for 30 seconds. The control was culture medium agitated in vortex for 30 seconds also. The experimental model was: LLC-PK1 cells, at the density of 4x10³ cells per well were placed in a 96-well plate. On day 1, after cell seeding, the cells were exposed to high diluted CdCl₂ solutions 10⁻²⁰, 10⁻³⁰, 10⁻₄⁰ M or control (medium agitated). The pre-treatment lasted 120 hours. On day 6, the cells were intoxicated by CdCl₂ at concentrations of 2, 3, 3.5, 4 and 5 x 10⁻⁵ M for 24 hours. The method used to assess the cell viability was neutral red. In these experimental conditions, the authors identified the protective effect of the three high diluted solutions studied.

The present work had the intention to reproduce and to study the protective effect of high diluted CdCl₂ solutions. For that, some adaptations were determined: the use of water as vehicle of the HD solutions (with the intention to avoid dynamization of the medium...
EC25 and EC50 CdCl2 solutions effect on LLC-PK1, a
culture constituents), the use of mechanical agitation instead of vortex, the controls water and medium were not agitated. For determination of CdCl2 toxic concentration, it was performed an EC50 dose-response curve, and comparing with the other authors results, the values were not similar: 2 x 10^{-9}M in Delbancut and colleagues study \(^{11}\) and 7,3 x 10^{-5} M in this study.

This discrepancy might be due to laboratory variables related to different conditions in culture cell technique. The present study did not identify the protective effect of CdCl2 15cH, only in 10cH and 20cH when intoxicated by sublethal CdCl2 dose.

Reviewing the complete data, two important facts should be pointed out: first, cells have responded to freshly prepared solutions, second, only when they were intoxicated by EC25 (figure 6) and not by EC50 CdCl2 toxic solution (figure 7). Relating to the first point, some authors\(^{22-23}\) mention that when high diluted solution is not freshly prepared, it is suggested to agitate it before the use in experiment. Concerning to EC25 and EC50 CdCl2 solutions effect on LLC-PK1, a hypothesis was formulated: the pre-treatment with CdCl2 HD conduct cells to respond effectively to a sublethal toxic concentration (EC25), but can not conduct cell response in a more expressive intoxication (EC50).

The CdCl2 HD solution protection in these cells intoxicated by the same metal may be acting in the cell mechanisms related to the ability of cell to recover to damage and/or to protective mechanisms.

A known fact in literature that corroborates is the intrinsic ability of the epithelial renal cells to repair sublethal drugs damages. One of the first organ to be in touch with several drugs or chemicals is the kidney because it has a high blood flow rate and because of the presence of cellular transport systems that facilitate concentration of these compounds within the nephron epithelial cells. When chemical injury happens, the tissue responds dynamically and, when possible, repair occur rapidly. The repair potential decreases when cells receive a constant insult.\(^{24}\)

Importantly, Pfaller and Gstraunthaler mentioned in their paper: “as long as cell damage is below a certain threshold or is sublethal, functional disturbance may become measurable only if sensitive enough methodologies are applied”. This affirmation allows a question about the application of MTT assay in this study: would we detect the protective effect of CdCl2 HD when intoxicated by CdCl2 EC50 solution using a more sensitive technique?

Regards to cell damage, it has been described in literature the cellular stress responses and molecular mechanisms of nephrotoxicity and the mechanisms of Cd\(^{2+}\) intoxication effect on kidney cells.\(^{25}\) Cadmium stimulates the synthesis of detoxifying proteins, such as metallothionein (MT) and heat-shock proteins (HSPs). Metallothionein bind in transition metals offering a protective role against DNA oxidative damage, and heat-shock proteins is a family of cellular stress proteins that are induced after oxidative stress and help to prevent tissue damage. Induction of HSPs is generally considered as an adaptive response of cells to stress, linked to cell survival. The expression of HSP70, in particular, has been shown to be a potential early marker of toxic exposure\(^ {10,26}\).

Gennari and colleagues\(^{10}\) published a very interesting study. They verified a range of CdCl2 concentrations with intoxication effect on LLC-PK1 cells during 24 h. This experiment revealed an increase in HSP70 expression on LLC-PK1 cells when they received 25 µM of CdCl2. A slight expression of the MT protein is evident after exposure to 25 µM of CdCl2, while MT is highly expressed after 50 µM CdCl2 and is located especially inside and around the nuclei.

Moreover, they observed cytoskeleton alteration, as the cleavage of actin filaments after exposure to 25 µM CdCl2 and the classical formation of stress fibres, clearly indicating damage to the normal cytoskeletal organization. At 50 µM of CdCl2 the cells presented a complete depolymerization of the actin organization and the nuclei indicated DNA fragmentation. These results present evidences of molecular mechanisms involved in sublethal and lethal CdCl2 intoxication doses. Even with the dynamic cell stimulation to respond to the drug insult, the dose concentration of cadmium induce other cells changes as DNA fragmentation, decrease in the DNA repair system, enhances oxidative stress, causes mitochondria injuries, and others effects.\(^1\)

Considering the results of the present work, CdCl2 HD solutions may be inducing the synthesis of detoxifying proteins, as the basal MT does not mediate resistance to cadmium in mouse and human cells, however, the induction of MT can increase resistance to cadmium, then, in this way, HD CdCl2 would avoid the deleterious effects of the cadmium.

**Conclusion**

The present study has identified a protective effect of two CdCl2 high diluted solutions, 10 cH and 20 cH, when cells were intoxicated by sublethal CdCl2 concentration. The results indicate that probably the high dilutions have an expressive action on cells in...
sublethal intoxication, avoiding the deleterious effects of the cadmium. The perspective on this project is to verify the probable steps where CdCl₂ HD acts on early sublethal CdCl₂ intoxication on LLC-PK1 cells, focusing on the adaptive cell response stage as the synthesis of stress response proteins- MT and HSP.
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